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#### Abstract

$\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{Pd}$, is orthorhombic, space group $P 2{ }_{1} 2_{1} 2_{1}$, with $a=8.828$ (8), $b=9.705$ (9), $c=$ $11 \cdot 315$ (7) $\AA, Z=4$. The structure was refined to $R=$ 0.054 for 1763 photographic intensities. The two amino acid molecules are bonded in an irregular cis square-planar arrangement about the Pd atom [average $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N} 2.023$ (7), average $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{O} 2.005$ (7) $\AA$ § $]$. One five-membered chelate ring is almost planar whereas the other is distorted. The two serine molecules have different conformations for the hydroxyl groups, one having a gauche-gauche and the other a gauche-anti conformation. The structure is stabilized by intermolecular hydrogen bonds in which all active protons are involved.


## Introduction

The induction of filamentous growth in Escherichia coli has been reported (Charlson, Banner, Gale, McArdle, Trainor \& Watton, 1977) as a preliminary test system for evaluating the potential antitumour activity of amino acid-metal systems involving L-asparagine, Lglutamine, glycine and L-serine. Recent studies on the effect of metal ions on the l-asparaginase-catalysed hydrolysis of L-asparagine (Charlson, Coman, Karossi, Stephens, Vagg \& Watton, 1978) showed that the greatest degree of inhibition occurs in the presence of $\mathrm{Pd}^{11}$. As part of an associated structural study on metal-amino acid complexes the structures of bis(Lasparaginato)copper(II) (Stephens, Vagg \& Williams, 1975) and bis(L-asparaginato)zinc(II) (Stephens, Vagg \& Williams, 1977a) have appeared. Here the structure of bis(L-serinato) palladium(II), $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{Ser})_{2}$, is reported.

## Experimental

The complex was isolated by Charlson (1978) as bright-yellow rectangular plates by a modified method of von Kollmann, Schroter \& Hoyer (1975) for the preparation of $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{DL}-\mathrm{ser})_{2}$.

## Crystal data

$\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{Pd}, M_{r}=314 \cdot 6$, orthorhombic, $a=$ $8.828(8), b=9.705^{r}(9), c=11.315$ (7) $\AA, U=969.4$ $\AA^{3}, D_{m}=2.168$ (by flotation), $D_{c}=2 \cdot 155 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$, $Z=4, F(000)=624, \mu($ Мо $K \alpha)=1.896 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$. Systematic absences: $h 00$ when $h=2 n+1,0 k 0$ when $k=2 n+1,00 l$ when $l=2 n+1$; space group $P 22_{1} 2_{1} 2_{1}$.

Cell parameters were determined from oscillation photographs with $\mathrm{Cu} K ı$ radiation. With Mo $K ı$ radiation, 2274 non-zero reflexions were recorded on layers $0-6 \mathrm{kl}$ and $h k 0-4$ by the equi-inclination Weissenberg technique with packs of three films separated by Al sheets. Their intensities were read visually and corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects but not for absorption or extinction. Internal correlation yielded a final data set of 1763 unique nonzero reflexions.

Scattering factors were taken from International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974). All calculations were carried out on a Univac 1106 computer with programs written by F. S. Stephens.

## Structure determination

The structure was solved by the heavy-atom method. Refinement was by full-matrix least-squares calculations in which the function minimized was $\sum w \Delta^{2}$. Weights $w=\left(1.00+0.04\left|F_{o}\right|+0.0005\left|F_{o}\right|^{2}\right)^{-1}$ were used. Reflexions for which $\left|F_{o}\right|<0.25\left|F_{c}\right|$ were omitted from the refinement. After isotropic refinement the positions of the H atoms were determined from a difference synthesis. They were placed in these positions with $B=3.5 \AA^{2}$ and their positions varied during early anisotropic refinement. As $\mathrm{H}(131)$ and $\mathrm{H}(221)$ moved into chemically doubtful positions they were returned to and subsequently held in the positions indicated by the difference synthesis. Anisotropic refinement was continued with the other H atoms held in the positions to which they had refined.

Refinement was terminated when the maximum shift in any parameter was $<0.01 \sigma$. A final difference synthesis showed no maximum positive electron densities $>1 \mathrm{e} \AA^{-3}$. $R$ based on 1763 reflexions was 0.054 and $R^{\prime}\left[=\left(\sum w \Delta^{2} / \sum\left|F_{o}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\right]$ was 0.071 .1761 reflex-
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ions were included in the final cycle. Atomic coordinates are given in Table 1.*

## Discussion

Bond lengths and angles are given in Table 2. A perspective drawing of the molecule is given in Fig. 1 with the atomic labelling. Fig. 2 shows the packing viewed down $a$.

The bidentate L -serine molecules bond to Pd in an irregular cis square-planar arrangement (Table 3, plane

> * Lists of structure factors and anisotropic thermal parameters, together with details relating to the hydrogen atoms, have been deposited with the British Library Lending Division as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 34020 (15 pp.). Copies may be obtained through The Executive Secretary, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2 HU, England.

Table 1. Final atomic coordinates (fractional, $\times 10^{4}$ ) for non-hydrogen atoms with e.s.d.'s in parentheses

|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
|  | $y$ |  |  |
| Pd | $1121 \cdot 3(7)$ | $5827.7(6)$ | $4528.8(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(11)$ | $2098(8)$ | $7587(6)$ | $3986(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(12)$ | $2190(9)$ | $9827(7)$ | $4346(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(13)$ | $-343(9)$ | $9193(9)$ | $7493(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(21)$ | $1844(9)$ | $4735(7)$ | $3139(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(22)$ | $1537(12)$ | $2762(8)$ | $2205(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(23)$ | $-203(8)$ | $2651(8)$ | $3535(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $540(9)$ | $7026(7)$ | $5927(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $104(10)$ | $4048(7)$ | $4989(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $1675(10)$ | $8680(8)$ | $4583(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $514(10)$ | $8490(8)$ | $5537(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $747(11)$ | $9453(8)$ | $6572(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(21)$ | $1331(11)$ | $3481(8)$ | $3083(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(22)$ | $573(11)$ | $2918(9)$ | $4196(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(23)$ | $-683(12)$ | $1922(9)$ | $3956(9)$ |

Table 2. Bond lengths $(\AA)$ and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ with e.s.d.'s in parentheses

| $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $2.030(7)$ | $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $2.015(7)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{O}(11)$ | $2.008(6)$ | $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{O}(21)$ | $2.001(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(11)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $1.312(10)$ | $\mathrm{O}(21)-\mathrm{C}(21)$ | $1.300(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(12)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $1.232(10)$ | $\mathrm{O}(22)-\mathrm{C}(21)$ | $1.228(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(13)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $1.440(12)$ | $\mathrm{O}(23)-\mathrm{C}(23)$ | $1.445(13)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $1.488(10)$ | $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(22)$ | $1.477(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $1.499(12)$ | $\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(22)$ | $1.526(13)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $1.513(12)$ | $\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(23)$ | $1.496(13)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $100.2(3)$ | $\mathrm{O}(11)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{O}(21)$ | $94.2(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{O}(11)$ | $82.0(3)$ | $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{O}(21)$ | $83.7(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $175.5(3)$ | $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{O}(11)$ | $177.1(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $108.6(5)$ | $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(22)$ | $110.8(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{O}(11)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $114.1(5)$ | $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{O}(21)-\mathrm{C}(21)$ | $115.1(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(11)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{O}(12)$ | $120.8(8)$ | $\mathrm{O}(21)-\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{O}(22)$ | $121.3(10)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(11)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $117.8(7)$ | $\mathrm{O}(21)-\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(22)$ | $116.6(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(12)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $121.4(8)$ | $\mathrm{O}(22)-\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(22)$ | $121.9(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $108.7(7)$ | $\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{N}(2)$ | $111.0(6)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $111.0(7)$ | $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(23)$ | $112.5(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $112.9(7)$ | $\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(23)$ | $114.0(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{O}(13)$ | $111.2(7)$ | $\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{O}(23)$ | $110.0(7)$ |

1) which shows a small $\left(3 \cdot 3^{\circ}\right)$ tetrahedral distortion. $\mathrm{O}(11)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{O}(21)\left[94.2(3)^{\circ}\right]$ is significantly less than $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}(2)\left[100.2(3)^{\circ}\right]$, which is true for the corresponding $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{ser})_{2}$ (van der Helm \& Franks, 1969) and $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{L} \text {-ser) })_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}$ (van der Helm \& Hossain, 1969) complexes. Repulsion of the cis amino H atoms has been suggested as the cause (Delbaere, Kamenar \& Prout, 1975).

The two amino acid molecules show several dissimilarities. One five-membered ring (Table 3, plane 2)


Fig. 1. Perspective drawing of the molecule (Johnson, 1965) showing the labelling of the atoms. For non-hydrogen atoms thermal ellipsoids are scaled to include $50 \%$ probability.


Fig. 2. Molecular packing in the crystal; the primary molecular unit is shown in emphasis. Details of numbered hydrogen-bond interactions are given in Table 5.

Table 3. Least-squares planes and their equations given by $l X+m Y+n Z-p=0$

Deviations ( $\AA$ ) of relevant atoms from the planes are given in square brackets.

|  | $l$ m | $n$ | $p$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plane (1): $\mathrm{Pd}, \mathrm{N}(1), \mathrm{N}(2), \mathrm{O}(11), \mathrm{O}(21)$ |  |  |  |
|  | $0.8308-0.2648$ | 0.4895 | 1.8349 |
| $\begin{aligned} & {[\mathrm{Pd}-0.002 ; \mathrm{N}(1), \mathrm{O}(2} \\ & \mathrm{C}(12)-0.57 ; \mathrm{C}(21)-0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.04 ; \mathrm{N}(2), \mathrm{O}(11)- \\ & 04 ; \mathrm{C}(22) 0 \cdot 16 \text { ] } \end{aligned}$ | .04; C | $-0 \cdot 30 ;$ |
| Plane (2): $\mathrm{Pd}, \mathrm{N}(1), \mathrm{O}(11), \mathrm{C}(11), \mathrm{C}(12)$ |  |  |  |
|  | $0.8282-0.0954$ | 0.5523 | $3 \cdot 2430$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & {[\mathrm{Pd}-0.13 ; \mathrm{N}(1) 0.2} \\ & \mathrm{O}(12) 0 \cdot 16] \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{O}(11) 0.08 ; \mathrm{C}(11)$ | $04 ; \mathrm{C}(1$ | -0.19; |
| Plane (3): $\mathrm{Pd}, \mathrm{N}(2), \mathrm{O}(21), \mathrm{C}(21), \mathrm{C}(22)$ |  |  |  |
|  | $0.8386-0.2376$ | 0.4902 | 1.9790 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { [Pd 0.02; N(2) }-0.07 \\ & \mathrm{O}(22)-0.25 \text { ] } \end{aligned}$ | $O(21) 0.03 ; C(21)$ | $0.09 ; \mathrm{C}(2$ | $0 \cdot 10$ |
| Plane (4): $\mathrm{O}(11), \mathrm{O}(12), \mathrm{C}(11), \mathrm{C}(12)$ |  |  |  |
|  | $0.7347-0.1514$ | 0.6612 | 3.2310 |
| [ $\mathrm{O}(11), \mathrm{O}(12), \mathrm{C}(12)-0.003 ; \mathrm{C}(11) 0.009]$ |  |  |  |
| Plane (5): $\mathrm{O}(21), \mathrm{O}(22), \mathrm{C}(21), \mathrm{C}(22)$ |  |  |  |
|  | $0.8794-0.3196$ | 0.3528 | 1.2082 |
| $\mathrm{O}(21) 0.008 ; \mathrm{O}(22)$ | 0.009; $\mathrm{C}(21)-0.02$ | 3; C(22) | 0.007 |

shows considerable distortion from planarity, whereas the other (Table 3, plane 3) may be considered planar. The corresponding $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}$ and $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{O}$ distances are slightly shorter, and the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{O}$ bite angle larger, in the planar ring. This feature was observed also in the trans amino acid complexes previously studied (Stephens, Vagg \& Williams, 1975, 1977a,b) and comparative details are given in Table 4. The reason for this structural difference then is not related to a cis or trans bonding mode, as thought previously, but it implies that the steric requirements of the metal are enforced on one molecule to a greater extent than the other.

An additional structural variance is observable in $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathrm{L} \text {-ser })_{2}$. The two side-chain hydroxyl groups have different conformations relative to the N and $\mathrm{COO}^{-}$ groups (Fig. 1). The non-planar molecule (1) has a gauche-anti configuration relative to those two groups respectively, the rotation angle being $-54.8^{\circ}$ (van der Helm, Nicholas \& Fisher, 1970); the more planar molecule (2) adopts a gauche-gauche configuration, the relevant rotation angle being $+53 \cdot 2^{\circ}$. The latter configuration is present in the structures of both DLserine (Shoemaker, Barieau, Donohue \& Lu, 1953), $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{L} \text {-ser) })_{2}$ (van der Helm \& Franks, 1969) and $\mathrm{Cu}(x y l-$ L -ser) $)_{2}$ (Delbaere, Kaminar \& Prout, 1975), whereas $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{ser})_{2}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2}$ (van der Helm \& Hossain, 1969) contains solely gauche-anti conformers. In $\mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{ser})_{2}$ (van der Helm, Nicholas \& Fisher, 1970) both gauchegauche and gauche-anti conformers were observed.

The bond dimensions in both acid molecules compare well with previously reported results. The two

Table 4. Comparison of molecular dimensions in bis(cr-amino acid) metal complexes

Values in italics refer to the less-planar acid molecule.

| Complex | Reference | $M-\mathrm{N}(\AA)$ | M-O ( ${ }_{\text {A }}$ ) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{N}-M-\mathrm{O} \\ \text { bite } \\ \text { angle }\left({ }^{\circ}\right) \end{gathered}$ | ${ }^{1} \Delta C^{1}{ }_{a}^{\dagger}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{L}\right.$-ser) ${ }_{2}$ | (1) | 2.015 (7) | 2.001 (7) | 83.7 (3) | 0.22 |
|  |  | 2.030 (7) | 2.008 (6) | 82.0 (3) | 0.42 |
| $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{asn})_{2}$ | (2) | 2.004 (28) | 1.947 (21) | 86.9 (10) | 0.19 |
|  |  | 2.035 (26) | 1.954 (22) | 82.4 (9) | 0.63 |
| $\mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{asn})_{2}{ }^{*}$ | (3) | 2.092 (5) | 2.086 (4) | 81.1 (2) | $0 \cdot 12$ |
|  |  | 2.071 (5) | 2.102 (4) | 80.9 (2) | 0.52 |
| $\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{l}\right.$-ornH) $\mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} .2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | (4) | 1.963 (5) | 1.946 (4) | 85.3 (11) | 0.18 |
|  |  | 1.989 (5) | 1.969 (4) | 84.6 (11) | 0.48 |

References: (1) This work. (2) Stephens, Vagg \& Williams (1975). (3) Stephens, Vagg \& Williams (1977a). (4) Stephens, Vagg \& Williams (1977b).

* Structure contains bridging carboxy groups.
$\dagger$ Deviation $(\AA)$ of the a-C atom from the least-squares plane defined by the other four atoms in the five-membered chelate ring.

Table 5. Proposed hydrogen bonds; donor-acceptor distances $(\AA)$ are given with e.s.d.'s in parentheses

| Numbered H... O interactions are shown in Fig. 2. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interaction |  | Acceptor at |  |
| 1 | $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{H}(11) \cdots \mathrm{O}(11)$ | $x-\frac{1}{2}, \quad \frac{3}{2}-y, \quad 1-z$ | 3.063 (9) |
| 2 | $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{H}(12) \cdots \mathrm{O}(22)$ | $\frac{1}{2}-x, \quad 1-y, \quad \frac{1}{2}+z$ | 2.965 (10) |
| 3 | $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{H}(21) \cdots \mathrm{O}(13)$ | $-x, \quad y-\frac{1}{2}, \quad \frac{3}{2}-z$ | 2.861 (11) |
| 4 | $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{H}(22) \cdots \mathrm{O}(12)$ | $x-\frac{1}{2}, \quad \frac{3}{2}-y, \quad 1-z$ | 2.894 (10) |
| 5 | $\mathrm{O}(13)-\mathrm{H}(13) \cdots \mathrm{O}(21)$ | $x-\frac{1}{2}, \quad \frac{3}{2}-y, \quad 1-2$ | 2.785 (10) |
| 6 | $\mathrm{O}(23)-\mathrm{H}(23) \cdots \mathrm{O}(11)$ | $-x, \quad y-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}-z$ | 2.855 (10) |

carboxylic acid groups show little deviation from planarity (Table 3, planes 4 and 5 ), the non-bonded $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ distances being the shorter. The angles subtended at the 1 - C atoms [average $111.8(7)^{\circ}$ ] indicate slightly strained tetrahedra.

The six amino and hydroxyl protons are involved in hydrogen bonding (Fig. 2). Details are given in Table 5. The different hydrogen-bonding requirements of the two amino acid molecules may account for the different OH conformations observed.

The author thanks Dr A. J. Charlson for providing the crystals and for interesting discussions.
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#### Abstract

The crystal structures of bis(2-aminoethyl)amine-(2,2'-bipyridyl)copper(II) nitrate dihydrate, $\mathrm{C}_{14^{-}}$ $\mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{CuN}_{5}^{2+} .2 \mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-} .2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \quad\left[\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{~N}_{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{~N}_{2}\right)\right]-$ $\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, (I), and bis(2-aminoethyl)amine (1,10phenanthroline)copper(II) nitrate, $\quad \mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{Cu}$ $\mathrm{N}_{5}^{2+} .2 \mathrm{NO}_{3}^{-}, \quad\left[\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{~N}_{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{~N}_{2}\right)\right]\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}, \quad$ (II), have been established by X-ray crystallographic analysis with photographic techniques. (I) crystallizes in the monoclinic space group $P 2_{1} / c$ with $a=$ $10.29(5), \quad b=7.60(5), \quad c=28.59(5) \AA, \quad \beta=$ $106.9(5)^{\circ}, Z=4$, and (II) in the monoclinic space group $C 2 / c$, with $a=25.97$ (5), $b=8.13$ (5), $c=$ 19.72 (5) $\AA, \beta=100 \cdot 0(5)^{\circ}, Z=8$. Both structures were solved by the heavy-atom method and refined to $R$ $=0.0640$ and 0.0933 , respectively. Both structures are ionic, the cations involving a distorted five-coordinate square-pyramidal $\mathrm{CuN}_{5}$ chromophore stereochemistry with closely comparable bond lengths and angles. The structure of (I) is atypical in that the $2,2^{\prime}$-bipyridyl ligand has an angle of twist of only $1.3^{\circ}$.


A wealth of crystallographic data (Muetterties \& Schunn, 1966; Hathaway \& Billing, 1970; Ray \& Hathaway, 1978) has established the existence of the five-coordinate square-based pyramidal and trigonalbipyramidal stereochemistries for the $\mathrm{Cu}^{\mathrm{II}}$ ion, especially in mixed-ligand complexes. Due to the nature of the ligands, distorted geometries are involved, depending on the bite angles of the chelate ligands and
the conformation of the polydentate chelate ligands (Gollogly \& Hawkins, 1972). The present structures have been determined in order to establish how the stereochemistry about the $\mathrm{Cu}^{11}$ ion varies with pairs of closely related ligands and ultimately to relate these to the detailed electronic properties of the $\mathrm{Cu}^{\text {II }}$ ion present.

## Preparation

The complexes $\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{bea}^{*}\right)\left(\mathrm{bpy}^{*}\right)\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} .2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, (I), and Cu (bea*)(phen*) $\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2}$, (II), were prepared by mixing a hot solution of $\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} \cdot 3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in methanol ( 7 mmol in 5 ml ) with an equimolar stoichiometric mixture of bis(2-aminoethyl)amine and 2,2'-bipyridyl (for I) and 1,10-phenanthroline (for II) in methanol ( 7 mmol in 25 ml ). Blue-black crystals of (I) and (II) were formed on cooling. (I), found: $\mathrm{C}=35 \cdot 28, \mathrm{H}=5 \cdot 20, \mathrm{~N}=19 \cdot 82$, $\mathrm{Cu}=13.06 ; \mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{Cu}$ requires: $\mathrm{C}=34 \cdot 82, \mathrm{H}=$ $5 \cdot 22, \mathrm{~N}=20 \cdot 30, \mathrm{Cu}=13 \cdot 15 \%$; and (II), found: $\mathrm{C}=$ $39.04, \mathrm{H}=4.44, \mathrm{~N}=20.04, \mathrm{Cu}=12.79 ; \mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{21^{-}}$ $\mathrm{N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{Cu}$ requires: $\mathrm{C}=39 \cdot 30, \mathrm{H}=4 \cdot 71, \mathrm{~N}=20 \cdot 0$, $\mathrm{Cu}=12.99 \%$.

## Experimental

The crystal and refinement data for (I) and (II) are summarized in Table 1. The unit-cell parameters were

[^0]
[^0]:    * bea $=$ bis( 2 -aminoethyl)amine; bpy $=2,2^{\prime}$-bipyridyl; phen $=$ 1,10-phenanthroline.
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